

## California Fair Political Practices Commission

August 25, 1988

John Glenn President Bay Area Rapid Transit District Board 800 Madison Street P.O. Box 12688 Oakland, CA 94606-2688

> Re: Your Request for Advice Our File No. A-88-240

Dear Mr. Glenn:

I am writing to confirm our telephone conversation of August 23, 1988, at which time you withdrew your request for formal written advice, and requested, instead, this confirming letter regarding your ability to appoint BART Board Members to a liaison committee involved with the development of an agreement between BART and Santa Clara County.

As you are aware, the Political Reform Act prohibits any public official from participating in a governmental decision in which he or she has a financial interest. Because of your business dealings with Santa Clara County, you are prohibited from participating in decisions which would foreseeably have a material financial effect on Santa Clara County.

The question presented is whether it is foreseeable that appointing members to the liaison committee will have a material financial effect on Santa Clara County. Absent any evidence that you have attempted in any way to influence the independent actions of the board members whom you would appoint to the liaison committee (see <a href="Benjamin">Benjamin</a> Advice Letter, No. A-86-148, copy enclosed), and based on your description of the operation of the liaison committee, we do not feel the foreseeability test has been met.

If you have any additional questions, please contact me at (916) 322-5901.

Sincerely,

Diane M. Griffiths General Counsel,

By: Lilly spitz

Counsel, Legal Division

LS:plh Enclosure



August 5, 1988

h. 1 . 25 1 00

JOHN GLENN

WILFRED T USSERY

KEITH BERNARD GENERAL MANAGER

DIRECTORS

BARCLAY SIMPSON

NELLO BIANCO

HOWARD P ABELSON

MARGARET K PRYOR 4TUDISTRICT

ROBERT S. ALLEN

JOHN GLENN

WILFRED T. USSERY

ARLO HALE SMITH

JOHN H KIRKWOOD

Ms. Lilly Spitz
Fair Political Practices Commission
428 "J" Street, Suite 800
Sacramento, CA. 95814

Dear Ms.Spitz:

Thank you very sincerely for your courtesy in our meeting of August 3, 1988, and having seen me without my having an appointment.

I am writing to follow up on our conversation yesterday, regarding the appointment of a Liaison Group of BART Directors to deal with their Santa Clara counterparts on the development of an agreement between BART and Santa Clara County for the extension of BART service into that County.

The Liaison group is designated to work with their counterparts in Santa Clara County, but has no autonomous authority or decision making authority. The negotiating is basically done by the staffs of each agency. The Liaison group provides policy guidance to the staff, and brings back a recommended agreement to a standing committee of the BART Board; the Engineering and Operations Committee. That Committee in turn has no autonomous authority to commit BART or make decisions on behalf of the District. The Engineering committee may recommend an agreement to the full Board of Directors, which by majority vote alone, can make binding decisions or agreements between BART and other agencies.

With respect to the negotiating process, I would point out that, while the staff may expect policy guidance from the Liaison Group, the staff through the General Manager reports to the Board as a whole, and is charged with carrying out the Board's Policy direction by a majority vote of the Board. Individual Directors or a Committee of the Board, may request actions by staff related to District business within their purview, but does not have authority to give explicit direction to the staff.

I think the above answers the three questions which you posed to me. Before responding to me. I would appreciate again discussing the above by telephone with you. I will be out of town until August 15 and will telephone you upon my return. Your courtesy and assistance are sincerely appreciated.

Yours truly,



Je 21 6 42 1 18

June 23, 1988

JOHN GLENN

WILFRED T. USSERY

KEITH BERNARD GENERAL MANAGER

DIRECTORS

BARCLAY SIMPSON 1ST DISTRICT

NELLO BIANCO 2ND DISTRICT

HOWARD P. ABELSON JAD DISTRICT

MARGARET K. PRYOR

ROBERT S. ALLEN STRICT

JOHN GLENN

WILFRED T. USSERY

ARLO HALE SMITH

JOHN H. KIRKWOOD

Ms. Diane M. Griffiths
General Counsel
California Fair Political Practices Commission
428 J Street - Suite 800
P. O. Box 807
Sacramento, CA 95804-0807

Dear Ms. Griffiths:

Thank you very much for your courtesy and assistance in our two telephone conversations. I sincerely appreciate your permitting me to take part in the symbolic signing agreement between BART and SamTrans.

To confirm what I told you, I did not take part in the negotiations. The vote by the eligible BART Board members was unanimous and the contract has been signed by the Vice President. Therefore, whatever I do now will not change that agreement.

Pursuant to your request, I am providing the details for my request to appoint a BART Board Committee to bring to the Board any agreement which could be made with the Santa Clara County Transportation Agency for them to join the BART system. As I advised you, a firm which I own bid on a contract to service the liability claims of the Agency and won the contract as the low bidder.

The BART President is authorized to appoint all Board Committees. The actual negotiations are conducted by the BART staff. The staff has worked out a formula in which any agency joining the system must pay the total local cost of what is built outside the District plus a pro rata share of what has already been constructed inside the District.

This may be somewhat confusing to you, and I would be pleased to come to Sacramento with our General Manager so that he can explain how the above formula is applied, showing that neither I nor any other BART Director would have any control over changing that formula. All Board Directors are supportive of that formula.

Ms. Diane M. Griffiths Page 2 June 23, 1988

There is no way that I can personally benefit by appointing the Board Committee for the negotiating group. As far as my contract with Santa Clara County Transportation Agency, it is simply awarded to the lowest bidder and were I not to be the lowest bidder the next time the contract is let, I would lose it whether or not I appointed the Committee.

I respectfully request that as the unanimously elected BART President I be permitted to appoint the Directors to that Committee. I will not take part in the negotiations nor any debate nor will I vote on the matter. I will be pleased to come to Sacramento with our General Manager to prove to you that I would have no influence upon the outcome of any agreement that the BART Board would accept.

Yours very truly,



August 5, 1988

has I don't go

JOHN GLENN

WILFRED T. USSERY

KEITH BERNARD GENERAL MANAGER

DIRECTORS

BARCLAY SIMPSON

NELLO BIANCO 2ND DISTRICT

HOWARD P. ABELSON 3RD DISTRICT

MARGARET K. PRYOR 4TH DISTRICT

ROBERT S. ALLEN 5TH DISTRICT

JOHN GLENN 6TH DISTRICT

WILFRED T. USSERY

ARLO HALE SMITH 8TH DISTRICT

JOHN H. KIRKWOOD

Ms. Lilly Spitz
Fair Political Practices Commission
428 "J" Street, Suite 800
Sacramento, CA. 95814

Dear Ms.Spitz:

Thank you very sincerely for your courtesy in our meeting of August 3, 1988, and having seen me without my having an appointment.

I am writing to follow up on our conversation yesterday, regarding the appointment of a Liaison Group of BART Directors to deal with their Santa Clara counterparts on the development of an agreement between BART and Santa Clara County for the extension of BART service into that County.

The Liaison group is designated to work with their counterparts in Santa Clara County, but has no autonomous authority or decision making authority. The negotiating is basically done by the staffs of The Liaison group provides policy each agency. guidance to the staff, and brings back a recommended agreement to a standing committee of the BART Board; the Engineering and Operations Committee. Committee in turn has no autonomous authority to commit BART or make decisions on behalf of the The Engineering committee may recommend District. an agreement to the full Board of Directors, which by majority vote alone, can make binding decisions or agreements between BART and other agencies.

With respect to the negotiating process, I would point out that, while the staff may expect policy guidance from the Liaison Group, the staff through the General Manager reports to the Board as a whole, and is charged with carrying out the Board's Policy direction bv a majority vote of the Individual Directors or a Committee of the Board, may request actions by staff related to District business within their purview, but does not have authority to give explicit direction to the staff.

I think the above answers the three questions which you posed to me. Before responding to me, I would appreciate again discussing the above by telephone with you. I will be out of town until August 15 and will telephone you upon my return. Your courtesy and assistance are sincerely appreciated.

Yours truly,



Je 21 6 42 11 33

June 23, 1988

JOHN GLENN

WILFRED T. USSERY VICE-PRESIDENT

KEITH BERNARD GENERAL MANAGER

DIRECTORS

BARCLAY SIMPSON

NELLO BIANCO 2ND DISTRICT

HOWARD P. ABELSON 3RD DISTRICT

MARGARET K. PRYOR 4TH DISTRICT

ROBERT S. ALLEN

JOHN GLENN

WILFRED T. USSERY

ARLO HALE SMITH

JOHN H. KIRKWOOD

Ms. Diane M. Griffiths
General Counsel
California Fair Political Practices Commission
428 J Street - Suite 800
P. O. Box 807
Sacramento, CA 95804-0807

Dear Ms. Griffiths:

Thank you very much for your courtesy and assistance in our two telephone conversations. I sincerely appreciate your permitting me to take part in the symbolic signing agreement between BART and SamTrans.

To confirm what I told you, I did not take part in the negotiations. The vote by the eligible BART Board members was unanimous and the contract has been signed by the Vice President. Therefore, whatever I do now will not change that agreement.

Pursuant to your request, I am providing the details for my request to appoint a BART Board Committee to bring to the Board any agreement which could be made with the Santa Clara County Transportation Agency for them to join the BART system. As I advised you, a firm which I own bid on a contract to service the liability claims of the Agency and won the contract as the low bidder.

The BART President is authorized to appoint all Board Committees. The actual negotiations are conducted by the BART staff. The staff has worked out a formula in which any agency joining the system must pay the total local cost of what is built outside the District plus a pro rata share of what has already been constructed inside the District.

This may be somewhat confusing to you, and I would be pleased to come to Sacramento with our General Manager so that he can explain how the above formula is applied, showing that neither I nor any other BART Director would have any control over changing that formula. All Board Directors are supportive of that formula.

Ms. Diane M. Griffiths Page 2 June 23, 1988

There is no way that I can personally benefit by appointing the Board Committee for the negotiating group. As far as my contract with Santa Clara County Transportation Agency, it is simply awarded to the lowest bidder and were I not to be the lowest bidder the next time the contract is let, I would lose it whether or not I appointed the Committee.

I respectfully request that as the unanimously elected BART President I be permitted to appoint the Directors to that Committee. I will not take part in the negotiations nor any debate nor will I vote on the matter. I will be pleased to come to Sacramento with our General Manager to prove to you that I would have no influence upon the outcome of any agreement that the BART Board would accept.

Yours very truly,

June 30, 1988

John Glenn Bay Area Rapid Transit District P.O. Box 12688 Oakland, CA 94604-2688

Re: File No. 88-240

Dear Mr. Glenn:

DMG:plh

Your letter received by the Fair Political Practices Commission on June 27, 1988, has been reassigned to Lilly Spitz an attorney in the Legal Division. If you have any questions regarding your letter, you may reach her at (916) 322-5901.

Sincerely,

DianeM. Sriffich loggel Diane M. Griffiths

General Counsel

428 J Street, Suite 800 • P.O. Box 807 • Sacramento CA 95804-0807 • (916) 322-5660